

Elemental spectroscopy measurements and tool specifications

06.2014 Dak

Elemental Spectroscopy Tools				Elements detected																		spectrum/ processing	Depth of investigation	Vertical Resolution						
Acquisition Company	Tool Names (New to Old)	Neutron source	Detector	K: Potassium	U: Uranium	Th: Thorium	Al: Aluminum	Ba: Barium	C: Carbon	Ca: Calcium	Cl: Chlorine	Cu: Copper	Fe: Iron	Gd: Gadolinium	H: Hydrogen	K: Potassium	Mg: Magnesium	Mn: Manganese	Na: Sodium	Ni: Nickel	O: Oxygen				S: Sulfur	Si: Silicon	Ti: Titanium			
BakerAtlas	FLeX	pulsed neutron	bismuth germanate BGO	■	■	■	■			■			■	■				■					■	■	■	■	capture	21 in.		
																													inelastic	8.5 in.
Halliburton	GEM	AmBe	bismuth germanate BGO	■	■	■	■			■			■	■	■	■	■	■	■				■	■	■	■	capture	6 in.	18 in.	
																													oxides closure	
Schlumberger	LithoScanner	pulsed neutron	lanthanum bromide LaBr ₃	■	■	■	■	■		■			■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■			■	■	■	■	capture		18 in	
																													inelastic	
Schlumberger	ECS	AmBe	bismuth germanate BGO	■	■	■	■			■			■	■									■	■	■	■	capture	9 in	18 in	
Schlumberger	GST	pulsed neutron	sodium iodide NaI	■	■	■				■	■		■	■	■								■	■	■	■	capture			
				natural GR	Tool outputs: ■										Processing results: ■															
				neutron spectroscopy																										

Elemental spectroscopy measurements and tool specifications

06.2014 Dak

Comments:

This table provides some general information about elemental spectroscopy tools; the source and detector types, the types of spectra captured, and volumes of investigation. It also specifies the elements that each tool measures.

Often, as indicated by the cell colors, some elements are derived from measurements of other elements. In all cases, the measurements from the tools are processed by specific software. The ability of the tools to measure specific elements, and the related processing, can be expected to change with time, as tool technology and the understanding of the measurements improves. This is especially true of the latest generation of the tools, since this generation is relatively new.

Some references that may be of help in understanding the measurements are:

Ellis, Darwin, Jim Grau, Jeffrey Schweitzer, Russel Hertzog, 1987, Basics of nuclear logging; The Technical Review, v.35, n.3, July: Schlumberger Educational Services, Houston, Texas.

A good basic review of all nuclear phenomena used in logging. Out of date in terms of the spectroscopy tools, but the underlying physics is current.

Aboud, Manuel + 11 co-authors, 2014, High-definition spectroscopy – determining mineralogic complexity; Oilfield Review, v.26, n.1, Spring: Schlumberger.

Aside from the expected Schlumberger bias, a good paper for how current tools (from any company) work, and how the data is generally processed. Some overlap with Ellis et al, 1987, about nuclear interactions, some new information.

MacDonald, Robert M. + 4 co-authors, 2011, Comparison of elemental and mineral abundances from core and three modern neutron induced elemental spectroscopy tools; 52nd Annual Logging Symposium: Society of Petrophysicists and Well Log Analysts, Houston, Texas.

A comparison of BakerAtlas FLeX, Halliburton GEM, and Schlumberger ECS, in a log-off situation. Read for understanding of how the tools and processing can deliver different results in the same environment.

Contact the specific logging company for current information about their tools and processing.

This document is intended to be updated periodically as necessary to include new and corrected information.

Questions and comments about this document are welcomed and encouraged. Please contact Dan Krygowski at The Discovery Group; DanKrygowski@Discovery-Group.com.